

SLIDES WEEK 20

ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES

PAULA LINS

DEEP DIVE SLIDES

2024/25



UNIVERSITY OF
LINCOLN

Deep Dive Slides

The **Deep Dive Slides** are essentially the same as the lecture slides, but with added information for your convenience.

While the lectures come with my explanations, the slides do not. So the Deep Dive Slides have some comments to make the slides more self-contained to help you study independently.

WEEK 20: GOALS

Last time:

- Reminder: Rings, subrings, zero divisors and Integral Domains.

Today:

- New algebraic structures: Fields!
- Subfields
- Quick Subfield Theorem
- Homomorphism of rings, ID and fields

FIELDS

Fields

- Today we will learn (the last) algebraic structure: Fields!
- Fields will be very important for us.
- Basically, a field is ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ such that $(R, +)$ and (R^\times, \cdot) are both abelian groups.

Next slides

- In the next slides, we will recall very briefly what are the axioms satisfied by Groups, Abelian Groups, Rings and Integral Domains.
- We will then see that fields are the last piece of the puzzle, i.e. the algebraic structure that satisfy all axioms.
- This will give you an intuition of what fields are before seeing the formal definition.

SUMMARY: GROUPS, RINGS, INTEGRAL DOMAINS AND FIELDS

Group G with operation $*$

The following table tells us which axioms Groups and Abelian Groups, respectively, satisfy.

Operation $*$					
	Closed	Associative	Identity	Inverse	Commutative
Group	✓	✓	✓	✓	×
Abelian Group	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

REMINDER: GROUPS, RINGS AND INTEGRAL DOMAINS

Ring R and integral domain D with operations $+$ and $*$

The following tables tell us which axioms Rings and Integral Domains (ID) satisfy with sum and with multiplication.

Operation $+$					
	Closed	Associative	Zero	Commutative	Inverse
Ring	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
ID	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Operation $*$					
	Closed	Associative	Unity	Commutative	Inverse
Ring	✓	✓	×	×	×
ID	✓	✓	✓	✓	×

(We also need that they are distributive, but this will be omitted.)

FIELDS CHECK ALL BOXES!

Now, we add fields to the tables and compare them to rings and integral domains.

Fields tick all boxes!

Operation +					
	Closed	Associative	Zero	Commutative	Inverse
Ring	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
ID	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Field	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Operation *					
	Closed	Associative	Unity	Commutative	Inverse
Ring	✓	✓	×	×	×
ID	✓	✓	✓	✓	×
Field	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

(We also need that they are distributive, but this will be omitted.)

EXAMPLES OF FIELDS

Examples of Fields

Based on the tables of the previous slide, we can deduce the following.

- \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Q} are fields,
- \mathbb{Z} is an integral domain but not a field.
 - ▶ \mathbb{Z} does not have multiplicative inverses.
- $2\mathbb{Z}$ is a ring, but not an integral domain or a field.
 - ▶ $2\mathbb{Z}$ does not have unity.

FORMAL DEFINITION

We are now ready to define fields formally.

Definition

A **field** is a commutative ring in which the set of non-zero elements form a group with respect to multiplication.

In other words...

In other words, a set F with two operations $+$ and $*$ is called a **field** if:

- $(F, +)$ is an abelian group,
- (F^*, \cdot) is an abelian group, (recall that $F^\times = F \setminus \{0_F\}$)
- for all $x, y, z \in F$,

$$\begin{aligned}x * (y + z) &= x * y + x * z, \text{ and} \\(x + y) * z &= x * z + y * z.\end{aligned}$$

INCLUSIONS

Recall that Rings, ID and Fields satisfy all Abelian Group Axioms. They differ with respect to the following axioms:

Operation *					
	Closed	Associative	Unity	Commutative	Inverse
Ring	✓	✓	×	×	×
ID	✓	✓	✓	✓	×
Field	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Inclusions

The table above then shows that

$$\text{Fields} \subset \text{Integral Domains} \subset \text{Rings}.$$

See next slides for more explanations.

INCLUSIONS – MORE DETAILS

Operation *					
	Closed	Associative	Unity	Commutative	Inverse
Ring	✓	✓	×	×	×
ID	✓	✓	✓	✓	×
Field	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Assume $(R, +)$ and $(D, +)$ are abelian groups and that R and D are distributive. From the previous table, we conclude:

- $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a ring if R tick the boxes **closed**, **associative**.
- $(D, +, \cdot)$ is an ID if D tick the boxes **closed**, **associative**, **commutative**, and **unity** (and no zero divisors).
- In particular, if D is an ID, then D tick the boxes **closed**, **associative** (and distributive). So, D is a ring.
 - This means that all integral domains are rings.
 - The opposite is not true, because we can have a ring that is not commutative, or has no unity.

INCLUSIONS – MORE DETAILS–PART 2

Operation *					
	Closed	Associative	Unity	Commutative	Inverse
Ring	✓	✓	×	×	×
ID	✓	✓	✓	✓	×
Field	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

- Similarly, fields tick all Ring boxes and all Integral Domain boxes.
 - ▶ This means that all fields are integral domains and rings.
 - ▶ The opposite is not true, i.e. rings and integral domains are not necessarily fields; see examples in the next slide.

INCLUSIONS – MORE DETAILS–PART 3

Examples

- $2\mathbb{Z}$, $\text{Mat}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ are rings that are not integral domains (hence not fields either).
- \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ are integral domains (hence rings) that are not fields.
- \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} and $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ (p prime) are fields (hence rings and integral domains)

Recall:

- $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is the ring of polynomials with integer coefficients.
- $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + ib \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is called the ring of Gaussian integers.

Important: Check if you understand the examples above.
(E.g. Why is $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ an integral domain but not a field?)

FIELDS ARE INTEGRAL DOMAINS

Fields are Integral Domains

Technically, to show that fields are integral domains, we still need to show that fields do not have zero divisors.

Proof

Let F be a field. We need to show that if $x, y \in F$ are such that $xy = 0$, then either $x = 0$ or $y = 0$.

Without loss of generality, assume $x \neq 0$. Then $x^{-1} \in F$ because F is a field.

Consequently, by multiplying both sides of $xy = 0$ by x^{-1} , we obtain

$$0 = x^{-1}(xy) = (x^{-1}x)y = y.$$



SUBFIELDS

Next slides: subfields and a new QST

- In the next slides, we see the definition and some examples of subfields.
- Similarly to groups and rings, we say that a subset $K \subseteq F$ is a **subfield** of F whenever K is itself a field (with the same operations as F).
- We will also see that, fortunately, there is a **Quick Subfield Theorem/Test (QST)** that provides a faster way to determine whether a subset is a subfield without needing to verify all field axioms.

SUBFIELDS

Definition.

A subset K of a field F is a **subfield** of F if K is itself a field with respect to the operations on F .

Example

- \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Z} are subsets of \mathbb{R} .
- However, \mathbb{Q} is a field and \mathbb{Z} is not.
- Thus, \mathbb{Q} is a subfield of \mathbb{R} but \mathbb{Z} is not.

As for groups and rings, we have a quicker way of checking whether a subset is a subfield.

QUICK SUBFIELD THEOREM

Quick Subfield Theorem

Let F be a field and K a subset of F . Then K is a subfield of F if and only if

1. K contains the zero and the unity of F ,
2. if $a, b \in K$ then $a + b$ and ab belong to K ,
3. if $a \in K$ then $-a \in K$,
4. if $a \in K$ and $a \neq 0$ then $a^{-1} \in K$.

Proof. It follows from similar arguments as for the Quick Subring theorem. You can find the proof in the Deep Dive Slides.

PROOF OF QST

Proof.

(\Leftarrow) Let us show that, if K satisfies the conditions of the theorem, then it is a field.

We know that a set K with two operations $+$ and \cdot is a field if

- K is an abelian group with $+$,
- K^\times is an abelian group with \cdot , and
- F satisfies the distributive laws.

Recall the conditions of the Theorem:

1. K contains the zero and the unity of F ,
2. if $a, b \in K$ then $a + b$ and ab belong to K ,
3. if $a \in K$ then $-a \in K$,
4. if $a \in K$ and $a \neq 0$ then $a^{-1} \in K$.

PROOF OF QST - PART 2

Proof.

It follows from the Quick Subgroup theorem that $(K, +)$ and (K^\times, \cdot) are groups.

In particular,

- $(K, +)$ is abelian because $(F, +)$ is abelian,
- (K^\times, \cdot) is abelian because (F^\times, \cdot) is abelian,
- K satisfies the distributive laws because so does F .

It follows that $(K, +, \cdot)$ is a field.

PROOF OF QST - PART 3

Proof.

(\implies) Now we assume K is a subfield of F , and we must show that

1. K contains the zero and the unity of F ,
2. if $a, b \in K$ then $a + b$ and ab belong to K ,
3. if $a \in K$ then $-a \in K$,
4. if $a \in K$ and $a \neq 0$ then $a^{-1} \in K$.

Which follows by the definition of field. □

APPLICATION OF QST

Example: Gaussian Integers

Let us use the QSF Theorem to show that

$$\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + ib \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

is **not** a subfield of \mathbb{C} . It suffices to show that **one** condition fails.

4. Multiplicative inverse: Given $a + ib \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$(a + ib)^{-1} = \frac{1}{a^2 - b^2}(a - ib). \quad (\text{Exercise!})$$

For instance, $(1 + i) \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ has inverse

$$(1 + i)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - i) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}[i].$$

Thus, $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ **it is not a subfield** of \mathbb{C} .

APPLICATION OF QST - $\mathbb{Q}[i]$

Example: $\mathbb{Q}[i]$

What about

$$\mathbb{Q}[i] = \{a + ib \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subset \mathbb{C}?$$

Is this a subfield of \mathbb{C} ? We have that if $a + ib \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$, then its inverse is

$$(a + ib)^{-1} = \frac{1}{a^2 - b^2}(a - ib) = \frac{a}{a^2 - b^2} - i\frac{b}{a^2 - b^2} \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$$

because $\frac{a}{a^2 - b^2}$ and $-\frac{b}{a^2 - b^2}$ are rational numbers.

Thus, $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ satisfy the property of the QST that $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ does not.

Let us check the other three.

Example: $\mathbb{Q}[i]$

1. $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ contains the zero and the unity of \mathbb{C} :

The zero of \mathbb{C} is 0. Let us show that this is an element of $\mathbb{Q}[i]$:

$$0 = 0 + 0i \in \mathbb{Q}[i]. \quad (0 \in \mathbb{Q})$$

The unity of \mathbb{C} is 1. Let us show that this is an element of $\mathbb{Q}[i]$:

$$1 = 1 + 0i \in \mathbb{Q}[i]. \quad (0, 1 \in \mathbb{Q})$$

APPLICATION OF QST - $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ - PART 3

Example: $\mathbb{Q}[i]$

2. if $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$ then $a + b$ and ab belong to $\mathbb{Q}[i]$:

Given $a + bi$ and $c + di$ in $\mathbb{Q}[i]$, we have

$$(a + bi) + (c + di) = (a + c) + i(b + d) \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$$

$$(a + bi) \cdot (c + di) = (ac - bd) + i(ad + bc) \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$$

because $a + c$, $b + d$, $ac - bd$, and $ad + bc$ are rationals.

3. If $x \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$ then $-x \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$:

Given $a + bi \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$, we have

$$-(a + bi) = -a + (-b)i \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$$

because $-a$, $-b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Next slides

- We will now show that every **finite** integral domain is a field.
- We have seen that a field is an integral domain having (multiplicative) inverses for all non-zero elements.
- So, we will show that if D is a **finite** integral domain, then all its non-zero elements have an inverse.
- As a consequence, we will get immediately that $\mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a field if and only if n is prime.

FINITE INTEGRAL DOMAINS ARE FIELDS!

Theorem

Every finite integral domain is a field.

Proof.

Let D be an integral domain.

A field is an integral domain having inverses for all non-zero elements.

Thus, we must show that every non-zero $a \in D$ has an inverse.

Goal: Find $b \in D$ such that

$$a * b = e.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM - PART 2

Proof.

Strategy: Define the map

$$\lambda : D \rightarrow D \text{ given by } \lambda(x) = a * x.$$

- If we show that there exists $b \in D$ such that $\lambda(b) = e$, then we are done.
 - ▶ In fact, $\lambda(b) = e$ means $a * b = e$.
 - ▶ That is equivalent to b being the inverse of a , as required.
- By definition, if λ is surjective, then there exists $b \in D$ such that $\lambda(b) = e$.
- It then suffices to show that λ is surjective.

PROOF OF THEOREM - PART 3

Fact.

If F is a **finite** set, then every mapping $F \rightarrow F$ is surjective if and only if it is injective.

Proof of Theorem - Part 3

■ Thus, it suffices to show that λ is **injective**.

Assume $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$. We must show $x = y$.

In fact, $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$ is equivalent to $a * x = a * y$.

Since **multiplicative cancellation** holds for integral domains, we have $x = y$ as desired. \square

$$m * n = m * p \implies n = p$$

Corollary

$\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}}$ is a field if and only if n is prime.

Proof.

We know that $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}}$ is an integral domain if n is prime, and it is not an integral domain otherwise.

Since $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}}$ is finite, by the previous theorem, if n is prime, then $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}}$ is a field. \square

HOMOMORPHISM OF RINGS, ID AND FIELDS

Ring homomorphisms

- In Group Theory, a group homomorphism is a map $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ between groups G and H that preserves the group operations.
- The same way, map between two rings $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ is called a **ring homomorphism** if it preserves the ring operations.
- The difference is that rings have two operations, so both need to be preserved.

Definition

Let R and S be rings. A **ring homomorphism** from R to S is a mapping

$$\theta : R \rightarrow S$$

that satisfies:

- $\theta(a + b) = \theta(a) + \theta(b)$, and
- $\theta(ab) = \theta(a)\theta(b)$,

for all $a, b \in R$.

Ring, ID and Field homomorphisms

- Recall that integral domains and fields are in particular rings, but they have more axioms:
 - ▶ **Integral Domain (ID):** Is a commutative **ring** with unity that has no zero divisors.
 - ▶ **Field:** Is a ring $(F, +, \cdot)$ in which (F^*, \cdot) .¹ is a multiplicative group.
- In the next slide, we will define **integral domain homomorphisms** and **field homomorphisms**.
- They are simply ring homomorphisms, but between integral domains and fields, respectively.

¹Recall that $F^* = F \setminus \{0\}$.

RING, INTEGRAL DOMAIN AND FIELD HOMOMORPHISMS

Remark

Recall that

$$\text{Fields} \subset \text{Integral Domains} \subset \text{Rings}.$$

Thus

- an **integral domain homomorphism** is just a **ring homomorphism** between two integral domains.
- Similarly, a **field homomorphism** is just a **ring homomorphism** between two fields.

Which of the following maps are ring homomorphisms?

1. $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(x) = 4x, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

2. $g : \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$g(x) = \bar{4}x, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}.$$

Solutions

1. $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x) = 4x$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$f(x + y) = 4(x + y) = 4x + 4y = f(x) + f(y). \quad \checkmark$$

$$f(xy) = 4(xy) = 4xy,$$

$$f(x)f(y) = 4x4y = 16xy. \quad \chi$$

Thus, this is **not a ring homomorphism!**

2. $g : \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ given by $g(x) = \bar{4}x$, for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$.

$$g(x + y) = \bar{4}(x + y) = \bar{4}x + \bar{4}y = g(x) + g(y). \quad \checkmark$$

$$g(xy) = \bar{4}(xy) = \bar{4}xy,$$

$$g(x)g(y) = \bar{4}x\bar{4}y = \bar{16}xy = \bar{4}xy. \quad \checkmark$$

Thus, this is a **ring homomorphism!**

EXAMPLE OF RING HOMOMORPHISMS

Example.

The map $\theta : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$\theta(a) = \bar{a} \quad (\text{i.e. } a \bmod n)$$

is a ring homomorphism.

In fact:

- $\theta(a + b) = \overline{a + b} = \bar{a} + \bar{b} = \theta(a) + \theta(b)$. ✓
- $\theta(ab) = \overline{ab} = \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \theta(a)\theta(b)$, ✓

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

REMARK ABOUT THE PREVIOUS EXAMPLE

Remark.

In the previous example, we showed that the map $\theta : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$\theta(a) = \bar{a} \quad (\text{i.e. } a \bmod n)$$

is a ring homomorphism.

Now,

- \mathbb{Z} is an integral domain.
- If n is prime, $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is an integral domain.
- We can conclude: If n is prime, then this map $\theta : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is an **integral domain homomorphism**.

EXAMPLE OF RING HOMOMORPHISM: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow M(2, \mathbb{R})$

Recall $M(2, \mathbb{R})$

Recall that

$$M(2, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is a ring with usual addition and multiplication of matrices.

Example of ring homomorphism $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow M(2, \mathbb{R})$

The map $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M(2, \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$\phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & x \end{pmatrix}$$

is a ring homomorphism.

EXAMPLE OF RING HOMOMORPHISM: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow M(2, \mathbb{R})$

- PART 2

Sum

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) + \phi(y) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & x \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ y & y \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x+y & x+y \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \phi(x+y). \quad \checkmark\end{aligned}$$

Multiplication

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x)\phi(y) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ y & y \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ xy & xy \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \phi(xy). \quad \checkmark\end{aligned}$$

MORE EXAMPLES

More Examples

Are the following maps ring homomorphisms?

1. $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x) = x + 2$. Let us check:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + y) &= (x + y) + 2 = x + y + 2, \text{ whereas} \\ f(x) + f(y) &= (x + 2) + (y + 2) = x + y + 4. \end{aligned}$$

Not a ring homomorphism.

2. $\mathbf{0} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\mathbf{0}(x) = 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us check:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0}(x + y) &= 0 = 0 + 0 = \mathbf{0}(x) + \mathbf{0}(y), \checkmark \\ \mathbf{0}(xy) &= 0 = 0 \cdot 0 = \mathbf{0}(x)\mathbf{0}(y) \checkmark. \end{aligned}$$

This is a ring homomorphism. (Also an **integral domain homomorphism** and a **field homomorphism**.)

LET US PRACTICE!

Which of the following maps are ring homomorphisms?

1. $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(x) = x^2, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

2. $\mathbf{1} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathbf{1}(x) = 1, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

3. $g : M(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M(2, \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$g\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION OF 1

Solution

1. $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x) = x^2$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

f can only be a ring homomorphism if

$$\begin{aligned}f(x + y) &= f(x) + f(y) \\f(xy) &= f(x)f(y).\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$f(x + y) = (x + y)^2 = x^2 + 2xy + y^2,$$

$$f(x) + f(y) = x^2 + y^2 \neq (x + y)^2.$$

Thus, f is **not** a ring homomorphism.

SOLUTION OF 2

Solution

2. The map $\mathbf{1} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can only be a ring homomorphism if

$$\mathbf{1}(a + b) = \mathbf{1}(a) + \mathbf{1}(b)$$

$$\mathbf{1}(ab) = \mathbf{1}(a)\mathbf{1}(b).$$

We have

$$\mathbf{1}(a + b) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{1}(a) + \mathbf{1}(b) = 1 + 1 \neq 1.$$

Thus, $\mathbf{1}$ is **not** a ring homomorphism.

SOLUTION OF 3

Solution

3. $g : M(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M(2, \mathbb{R})$ given by $g\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}\right) &= g\left(\begin{pmatrix} a+x & b+y \\ c+z & d+w \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a+x & 0 \\ 0 & a+x \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} = g\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) + g\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}\right). \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}\right) &= g\left(\begin{pmatrix} ax+bz & ay+wz \\ cx+dz & cy+dw \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} ax+bz & 0 \\ 0 & ax+bz \end{pmatrix}, \\ g\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) \cdot g\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}\right) &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ax & 0 \\ 0 & ax \end{pmatrix}. \chi \end{aligned}$$

Not a ring homomorphism.

Key Properties

- In the next slide, we will explore two very useful properties of ring homomorphisms.
- These properties are essential for proving results and performing calculations effectively.

PROPERTIES OF RING HOMOMORPHISMS

Properties of ring homomorphisms

If $\theta : R \rightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism, then

- $\theta(0_R) = 0_S$,
- $\theta(-a) = -\theta(a)$ for all $a \in R$.

Proof.

1. Notice that

$$\theta(0_R) = \theta(0_R + 0_R) = \theta(0_R) + \theta(0_R).$$

Subtracting $\theta(0_R)$ from both sides gives

$$\theta(0_R) = 0_S.$$

2. Exercise!

NEXT LECTURE

Exercises before next lecture

Solve the following exercises before next lecture:

- Practical 7: Question 7.1.

You can also attempt the following, for extra practice:

- Practice question: Question 7.5.

Next time...

- Isomorphism of rings, ID and fields
- Isomorphic rings.